About the Working Paper Series

The Centre for Human Rights and Legal Pluralism (CHRLP) Working Paper Series enables the dissemination of papers by students who have participated in the Centre's International Human Rights Internship Program (IHRIP). Through the program, students cophadeteents with NGOs, government institutions, and tribunals where they gain practical work experience in human rights investigation, monitoring, and reporting. Students then write a research paper, supported by a peer review process, while participating in a seminar that critically engages with human rights discourses. In accordance with McGill University's Charter of Students' Rights, students in this course have the right to submit in English or in French any written work that is to be graded. Thereforeapers in this series may be published in either language.

The papers in this series are distributed free of charge and are available in PDF format on the CHRLP's website. Papers may be downloaded for personal use only. The opinions expressed in these **p**pers remain solely those of the author(s). They should not be attributed to t CHRLP or McGill University. The papers in this series are intended to elicit feedback and to encourage debate on important public policy challenges. Copyright belongshor(ts) e a

Abstract

This essay considers whether sanctions alternative to imprisonment could be a viable way to address the commission of international crimes at a national level followiindeanational armed conflict. States have an obligation under international law to prosecute such crimes, but are also often at the negotiating table towards the end of a conflict. Using the Colombian peace agreement's section on justice for victims as a model (in both its positive and negatives), I explore the possibility of alternative sanctions inszence internations where the justice vs peace

Contents

Introduction	4
1. Context and Scope	4
1.1. Theoretical Context	5
1.2.Methodology	

Introduction

In his article "Bridging the Gap between Criminological Theory and Penal Theory within the International Criminal Justice System", Athanasios Chouliaras considers that international criminal justice (ICJ) has passed through its "formative" and its "mature" phases, and is now in its "reflective phase" he states that we must engage in a "reevaluation the institutions of international criminal law in the light of the distinctive traits of international criminality". the spirit of reevaluation, this essay considers whetheonsalternative to imprisonment

1. Context and Scope

1.1 Theoretical Context

The specific question that this essay seeks to answer is underpinned by two different theoretical frameworks: first, this questioningeconca specific practice in the world of transitional justice. Transitional justice refers a set of judicial and jodiexatamechanisms put in place in a time of transition from conflict to peace, in order to right the wrongs that have occurred and ultimately, to prevent their reoccurrence. Secondly, I draw the underlying logic of my arguments from penological theory, the study of the punishment of crime.

The field of transitional justice examines how regimes in power address the crimes that were committed in a time of conffiothe ultimate justificdd3pa4(al)-2 (t)1-2.1 (d)-1 (o)-1 (f)-31 (g)-3 (r)

in particular, holding criminal trials for those who breached humanitarian and international law. Indeed, many international covenants include or have been interpreted to include a requirement for states to prosecute and punish the perpetrators of certain types of crimes.

The driving tension that has arisen from situations of transitional justice is often referrfs ofMC

Theorizing punishment is by no means a new area of study. There have been many justifications given for imposing punishment on perpetrators of crime over the centuries - retribution, deterrence, incapacitation, fostering a sense of secthirty, extenposition of prison sentences is rising throughout the world, and leading in some areas to overcrowding and breaches of prisoners' human right Recently, more and more progressive and critical

I am examining this peace agreement as it is indeed **utrisgthee**-first modern example of a state contemplating the use of alternative sanctions for the perpetrators of international crimes in the context of peace negotiations, in a time when international law explicitly theequi prosecution and punishment of these individuals.

1.3 Colombia

Internal conflict has been ongoing in Colombia since 1964, and has been characterized by continuing violence between state forces and various paramilitary groups, crime syndicates, and gueilla rebel group's. The motivations of each group are varied and complex, and are intertwined with the country's history of **cont**inuunism and drutgafficking. Approximately 94,000 peoples' deaths can be attributed directly to the **5lotegrade**flict, most of whom are civilian's. One of the most powerful antagonists to the Colombian state is the **EPARC**-(Revolutionary Armed Forces of Colombia Sarmy), a guerilla movement that formed in the 1960s as a force for Markisminism¹⁶ FARCEP has been accused of using illegal tactics throughout the decades, including kidnapping for ransom, extortion, extrajudicial killings, and other methods that violate human fightee Colombian Armed Forces have

LTh2(P)-2 (ha)1 (s be)2(f)-2 J anty theac0t1t6udin ui2 (g)-2 (ai)-1 (on(fc)2 (eue)2 (t)ya)1 (v)-

on conditions that both sides will agree to, one of which is the possibility of avoiding prison sentences for those who recognize and confess their responsibility.

1.4 Terminology

In order to delimit the scope of this essay, it is important to define the terms I will be using:

Alternative sanctions: This term connotes a system of sanctioning that diverges from the regular criminal system, either in type or length of sanction. In the Colombian context, greatly reduced sentences are considered "alternative", as are various types of "coermice"ity based projects that only entail moderate deprivations of liberty, such as participation in the implementation of infrastructure construction and repairs, or projects as eliminating landmines, replacing illicit crops,¹eto.this essay, I assume that these sanctions are given at the end of a procedurally fair and legal crtrininal

Stalemate: In the context of this essay, "stalemate" refers to situations inexthanisms of peace and justice appear to frustrate each other's goals. Specifically, it is a situation where a peace agreement without transitional justice mechanisms would be unacceptable, but this peace agreement will not be signed by some or all parties if it contains the possibility of sanctions unacceptable to the parties am not using this term to refer to situations of-**post**lict in which the situation has stabilized and the victor has relative freedom to choose the mechanisms of transitional justice that are warranted.

Victim: This essay uses the definition set out in the United Nation "Basic Principles and Guidelines on the Right to a Remedy and Reparation for Victims of Gross Violations of International Human Rights Law and Serious tions of International Humanitarian Law"

¹⁹ Final Peace Agreement for the Termination of Conflict and the Construction of a Durable Peace, signed June 23, 2016, online http://farc-epeace.org/peacecess/agreemts/agreements.html Final Agreement

recognize that this version is an English translation and therefore may not reflect perfectly the provisions of the original agreement. As well, the organization of this agreement is inconsistent, making precisitations difficult. When necessary, I refer to the closest possible section header, and include paragraph numbers when these are available.

²⁰ See Juan Carlos Ochobe Rights of Victims in Criminal Justice Proceedings for Serious Human Rights Violations (Leiden: Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, 2013) aD&DdaOchoa notes the examples of South Africa, El Salvador, Guatemala, Haiti, and Mozambique.

as well as on the national level, given that States have the primary duty to prosecute these crimes²⁴. This section explores the idea that international crimes are fundamentally different from national crimes, but also that prosecuting these crimes on a national level is fundamentally different from international pros**ticu**. On this basis, restricting the choice of punishment to imprisonment appears unreflected and inappropriate.

2.1 The Uniqueness of International Crimes

The creation of all of the international ICJ institutions has, in general, been justified by recalling he horror of the crimes that were committed masse, and with shocking cruelty and disregard for the value of human life, as in Germany's Third Reich or Cambodia under the Khmer Roug^{25Malí > Iáa IÑ} ;% áÑIáh •,Ô;ÑÍ fÁ§I#â ',Ê;Ña "â f

Based on this generally accepted idea of the uniqueness of international crimes, it is thereforesurprising that the international response has taken the form of tribunals and courts that bear such striking resemblance to national systems of criminal justice, which are designed to deal with individual criminality. Specifically, for the purposes essay, it is curious that the system of sanctions in international tribunal appears to be based upon the model of a national criminal justice system. All international criminal tribunals, without exception, give imprisonment as the minimum sanctional tribunals, at least on paper, also impose a minimum of a prison senterfce.

This is logical, if one thinks of international crimes as simply more serious versions of national crimesif this is true, then it is obvious that imprisonment is the **aleas** brivited person should receive. However, the current status of sentencing of international crimes butts up against the limits of the logic of basing the length of the punishment on the severity of the crime. Punishments must be proportional to the cbut under national law, one murder may attract life imprisonment. What, then, of a genocide? One accused can only serve one life sentence. This "problem of proportionality" is a common critique of ICJ institutiestead, based on the idea that eintational crimes are fundamentally different from international crimes, it would make sense for this to be taken into account when determining the availability of different sanctions. Instead, the drafters of the ICC's Rome Statute dismissed alternative sanctions as "entirely inappropriate".

2.3 Dislocation between the Goals of ICJ and the Sanctions Given

In this same vein, there appears to be a general lack of attention paid by ICJ institutions to setting out specific goals for each punishment given, and to setting out plainly how imprisonment is supposed to meet these goals. Silvia d'Ascoli submitteet feath absence of justification for sentencing in ICJ: "the system of international criminal justice has not yet

⁴¹ Mark A. Drumbl Atrocity, Punishment and Internatio (Calrbanidge UP2,009) at 553 [Drumbl ⁴² Ibidat 69.

⁴³D'Ascoli, supmote 12at 27.

⁴⁴ International Law Commission on the wixth setsionty Draft Statute for an International Criminal Court with Control of the International Court of the Interna

two "yardsticks" (goals of punishment and victims' rights) were chosen as they reflect both pragmatism and princip[®] Achieving the goals of reconciliation, rehabilitation, pedagogy and truth-finding, as well as fulfilling in a meaningful way the rights of victims, are more likely to lead to longerm, positive peace, on top of immediate negative peace. As welfilling the rights of victims is a principle upon which the victims themselves, civil society, and the international community place great weight any alternative response to international crime is going to be scrutinized for its adherence to the perithat victims' rights must not be ignored.

3.1 The Colombian Model

As noted in Part 1, the Colombian peace agreement's section entitled "Agreement on Victims" will serve as an illustration of such a transitional mechanism. -**Dage400** nal Agreement addresses comprehensive rural reform, reforms of the democratic political system, the problem of illicit drugs, and finally, transitional justice. This final section creates four judicial and extraudicial transitional justice mechanisms: a trutmission, a special unit to search for missing persons, a comprehensive system of reparations, and finally, the Special Jurisdiction for Peace ("SJP").

The SJP is the criminal justice aspect of the Final Agreement, and its task is to "administer justice ad investigate, clarify, prosecute and punish serious human rights violations and serious breaches of International Humanitarian L⁶ Wite SJP, which applies to any rebel groups that have signed the agreement as well as state⁷ forcesses a mechanism that differentiates the process and sanctions based on both the crimes that have been committed and the degree to which the accused accept their responsibility and give a full confession.

64

First, the agreement foresees granting "the broadest possible" and the broadest possible and the

obligation under international law that s to prevent, prosecute, approximational crimes, this system has been subject to much criticism and debate as to whether such provisions constitute adequate punishment.

3.2 Alternative Sanctions and the Goals of Punishment

The specific goals the SJP are enumerated: "The goals of the justice component ... are to satisfy the rights of victims to justice, offer truth to the Colombian society, protect the rights of victims, contribute to the achievement of a stable anlasting peace and addecisions that grant full legal security to those who participated directly or indirectly in the internal armed conflict [...].⁹⁶While somewhat vague, these goals do seem to line up with the previous section's argumentation that in conflict situations, goals of punishment should be more restorative and victimfocused, as opposed to focused on retribution. It is made explicit that the implementation of justice mechanisms has the purpose of restoring peace to the Acountry. analysis of the SJP skothrat various restorative goals of punishment can be achieved in a meaningful way by this model in a way that is appropriate for a-transition situation.

3.21 Rehabilitation of the Offender and Reconciliation with the Community

The judicial porcess that would be applied to participants according to this agreement provides possibilities for reconciliation with Colombian society and specific communities. In the context of this essay, I consider "reconciliation" to refer to repairing a minins of bas trust between, on the one hand, the actors in the conflict (whether rebel or state agents) and on the other, the communities and individuals who were subject to the international crimes.

between us⁷⁹ It is a large part of ensuring that the does not reoccur. The alternative sanctions envisioned by the Final Agreement are explicitly described to be "of a restorative and reparative nature[®]. The sanctions involve community breiding projects that would work towards promises made in other areas of the Final Agreement, such as participating in reparation programs for displaced farmer peasants; programs for the protection of the environment in reserve areas; construction and reparation of infrastructure in rural areas, such aactschools, r health centres, housing, community centres, municipal infrastructures; the improvement of electrification; demining, etc he accused would thus be engaged in rebuilding part of the devastation caused by the conflict, and would be, in fact, and the victims by contributing to the development of their communities. The logic behind these sanctions seems similar to that of community service orders given for national crimes: the aim is for the offender to make reparations to the communitySilerra Leone, with regards to the transitional justice mechanism of disarmament in exchange for stipends and training, it was observed that anger with this "preferential treatment" was short lived, but what remained was frustration with the impoverishment the country, which sowed the seeds of further divisive These, sanctions that involve convicted persons helping to relieve the burdens of a community may foster attitudes more open to reconciliation.

Just as these rebuilding programs may alloworthmeunities to accept the offender, it may also serve as a way to rehabilitate the offenders themselves. Active and effective rehabilitation of the offenders is often ignored in a prison system, convicted criminal, as a human being, deserves the chance to learn to correct his behaviour and recognize the

http://www.un.org/en/peacebuilding/pbso/pdf/Reconciliationafter-ViolentConflictA-HandbookFull-EnglishPDF.pdf

⁷⁸ International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assis Removes, ciliation After Violent Conflict: A Handbook David Bloomfield & Teresa Barnes, eds, 2003 at 12. Online at

⁷⁹ Ibidat 19.

⁸⁰ Final Agreement supplies 19 tapart 5.3.1.

⁸¹ Ibidat part 5.3.1, "Sanctions applicable to persons that comprehensively acknowledge truth in the Chamber for the Acknowledgement of Truth and Responsibilities", patts A

⁸² Rosalind Shaw, "Linking Justice with Reintegratio Combatant

wrongfulness of his actions, and his punishment should in part aim for the gravate the sanctions given in the Colombian model are based on the convicted person's willingness to cooperate with the system, it reflects his or her current potential for rehabilitation, as opposed to a system of punishment that looks to the past for a person's worst moment. "Community service orders may be seen as having a mixture of objectives, including elements of punishment, reparation, and the potential for rehabilitation@community service confronts the offenders with the effects of their crimes, improves their attitudes towards society, and provide them with useful employment skills in the case of longerm internal conflict, contributing to the reparation of targeted communities may also help the perpetrator to recognize the widespread effects of the conflict and his or her participation in **itveN**s those who are sanctioned with reduced prison sentences are required to commit to "his orsbeiatization through work, training or education during his or her period of deprivation."

3.22 Fact Finding and Pedagogy

Part of the value of crima intrials is their ability to create a narrative of the crime(s), which is important for accountability, reconciliation, and providing justice to **∛idtians** itional justice mechanisms in general are expected to contribute towards creating a historical narrative of the conflict, for the purposes of ensuring conflict does not return for another cycle. This particular model of alternative sanctions provides extra incentives for the accused to provide information that may contribute towards creating this narrative of truth.

reserved for those who do not give their full cooperation and acknowledge their responsibility. As noted above, retribution should not be placed at the top of the list of priorities for a judicial post-conflict mechanism. However, in some contexts, ignoring it completely may upset the balance that must be struck in order for the transitional jus**jute torg**ain public legitimacy, given the current emphasis that current international and national criminal systems place on retributive punishment. A penal process that completely rejects any retribution, in the context of peace negotiations, may be seen as an attempt to allow impunity for those who committed international crimes during the confficte Colombian model attempted to strike this balance by reducing the retributive elements for pragmatic reasons.

3.3 Victims' Rights

The concept of victims ights has gained increasing recognition in transitional justice situations the argument for transitional mechanisms is more and more often framed in terms of vindicating victims' rights to justice, truth, and reparation in the UN's basic Principles, as enshined in various international instruments, most importantly the UN's Basic Principles, as well as the Updated Set of Principles for the Protection and Promotion of Human Rights through Action to Combat Impunity ("Updated Principles South of these instru

examines the potential of the alternative sanctions model for compliance with these instruments - the agreement expline istates, "the main purpose of the sanctions will be to satisfy the victims of the rights and consolidate peater" what extent does this Colombian model give listened to in cases of prioritization and selection of c^{03} Since "results of the trials and final sentences will be sent to the Truth Commission, which is mandated to make its information fully available¹⁰⁷ - i () T j - 0 . 0 m u 4 (n 7 . 0 . 1

of its human rights obligation about violations cannot exempt an accused from criminal responsibility, that "disclosure may ... provide grounds for a reduction of sentence in order to encourage revelation of the truth." As well, the **Antee**rican Court of Human Rights has specified only that a punishment is a necessity in order to fulfill the right to pasticle, at "measures aimed at preventing criminal proceed violations the effects of a conviction" are unacceptable. Alternative sanctions do not necessarily run afoul of these requirements.

This issue brings up the question of how one can evaluate whether the right to justice has been fulfilled in a partitar situation. One might consider whether the victims feel a sense of this right having been vindicated, or not example, if alternative sanctions erodes their confidence in the legitimacy of the entire peace process. This, however, will vary toydivid individual, as victims are not one homogenous group with a homogenous opinion on the matter.¹¹⁴ One might also consider the ICC's conclusion on the matter as determinative - whether the Prosecutor decides that particular alternative sanctions **erotee fittle** State being "unwilling or unable genuinely to carry out the investigation or prosecution," as per Article 17 of the Rome Statute. However, the ICC's Deputy Prosecutor has indicated that alternative sanctions may be acceptable and thus **reottine**gourt's jurisdiction, provided they are "consistent with a genuine intention to bring the convicted person to¹ justice." well, it is not clear that the ICC's use of the term "justice" refers to the same type of justice that might satisfy this **ing**.

T

If the ultimate goal of the right to justice is reconciliation and¹p⁶eautethis can be achieved in certain circumstances without criminal punishment, to what extent is the "right to justice" necessarily linked to a certain type or outcome of a crim

Bibliography

Books

d'Ascoli, Silvia. Sentencing in International Criminal Law: the UN ad hoc Tribunals and Future Perspectives for the Plot Cland: Hart Publishing, 2011).

van Boven, Theo. "Victimoriented Perspectives: Rights and Realities" in Thorsten Bonacker & Christoph Safferling, eds, Victims of International Crimes: An Interdiscipl(They Discourse Hague: TMC Asser Press, 2013) 17.

Crow, Iain. The Treatment and Rithation of Offen (demsdon, Sage Publishing: 2001).

Cryer, Robert and Friman, Hakan. An Introduction to Criminal Law and Procedure (Cambridge: Cambridge UP, 2010).

Díaz Catalina.Colombia's Bid for Justice and Peace" in Kai Ambos, Judith Largie & Ma Wierda, eds, BTT2 1 TTw J / 0.001 T (n t)- (e)2 (P)-2 (ubIP O)3 (33.285 <i /T(sh)(33.28585 -1.29e

and Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law" (2005) 1:76 Revue Internationale de Droit Pénal 77.

Zolo, Danlo. "Peace Through Criminal Law?" (2004) 2 Journal of International Criminal Justice 27.

International Jurisprudence or Treaties

Case of Gutiéssezer v Colombia, Merits, Reparations and Costs, 12 September A20005. Ct HR.

Case of tMapiripán Masaçraudgment of September 15, 2005.-AnterCt HR, Ser C No134.

Case of Velasquez Rod Jigde zment of July 29, 1988. HAtter Ct HR, Ser C No 4.

Protocol Additional to the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949, And Additional Voctimes of NorInternational Armed Conflicts, 8 Jul,01 Tw -290 001 Tw 2.2a Tf r69 (6t)1 (s)3(at)1 (i) 609 0 13 Avocats sans frontières Canada, "Note 4: The Justice Dimension of the Peace Agreement", Practical Notes by Lawyers without Borders Canada, 2016. Online at <u>http://www.asfcanada.ca/uploads/publications/uploaded_proc**dsquai***angnote4-pdf-<u>105.pdf</u></u>

Final Colombian Peace Agreement, signed 26 September 2016. <u>Minutes/fatc-epeace.org/peacecocess/agreements/agreements/item/ageement/ageeme</u>

Human Rights Watch, "Human Rights Watch Analysis of Colorate Agreement" 21 December 2015. Online hat ps://www.hrw.org/news/2015/12/21/humanightswatch analysiscolombia farc-agreement

International